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Executive summary

Purpose of this report

The purpose of this Audit Findings Report is to assist you, as a member of Council, in your review of the results of our audit of the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation of the Town of LaSalle (“the Town”) as at and for the year ended December 31, 2019.

Audit risks and results

We discussed with Management at the start of the audit a number of significant financial reporting risks. These risks have been addressed in our audit.

See pages 3-6 and Appendix 2.

Finalizing the Audit

As of June 10, 2020, we have completed the audit of the financial statements, with the exception of certain remaining procedures, which include:

- Receipt of legal inquiry letters
- Completing our discussions with Council
- Obtaining evidence of Council’s approval of the consolidated financial statements
- Obtaining the signed management representation letter

We will update Council (as required by professional standards), on significant matters, if any, arising from the completion of the audit, including the completion of the above procedures. Our auditors’ report will be dated upon the completion of remaining procedures.

Independence

We are independent with respect to the Town (and its related entities), within the meaning of the relevant rules and related interpretations prescribed by the relevant professional bodies in Canada and any applicable legislation or regulation.

Significant accounting policies and practices

There have been no initial selections of, or changes to, significant accounting policies and practices to bring to your attention.

Uncorrected differences

We did not identify any corrected or uncorrected differences.

Critical accounting estimates

Overall, we are satisfied with the reasonability of critical accounting estimates.

1 This Audit Findings Report should not be used for any other purpose or by anyone other than Council. KPMG shall have no responsibility or liability for loss or damages or claims, if any, to or by any third party as this Audit Findings Report has not been prepared for, and is not intended for, and should not be used by, any third party or for any other purpose.
Materiality

The determination of materiality requires professional judgment and is based on a combination of quantitative and qualitative assessments including the nature of account balances and financial statement disclosures.

The first step is the determination of the amounts used for planning purposes as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materiality determination</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Materiality determination</td>
<td>Relevant metrics included net assets, total revenue, and total expenses for public sector entities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benchmark</td>
<td>Based on total revenue for the year (excluding reserve fund revenue and capital fund revenue). This benchmark is consistent with the prior year.</td>
<td>$57.7 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materiality</td>
<td>Determined to plan and perform the audit and to evaluate the effects of identified misstatements on the audit and of any uncorrected misstatements on the financial statements. The corresponding amount for the prior year’s audit was $1.56 million.</td>
<td>$1.73 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of Benchmark</td>
<td>The corresponding percentage for the prior year’s audit was 3%.</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance materiality</td>
<td>Used 75% of materiality, and used primarily to determine the nature, timing and extent of audit procedures. The corresponding amount for the prior year’s audit was 75% or $1.170 million.</td>
<td>$1.297 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit Misstatement Posting Threshold (AMPT)</td>
<td>Threshold used to accumulate misstatements identified during the audit. The corresponding amount for the previous year’s audit was $78K. Different threshold used to accumulated reclassification misstatements.</td>
<td>$86K $258K for reclassification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Materiality is used to scope the audit, identify risks of material misstatements and evaluate the level at which we think misstatements will reasonably influence users of the financial statements. It considers both quantitative and qualitative factors.

To respond to aggregation risk, we design our procedures to detect misstatements at a lower level of materiality.

We will report to Council:
- Corrected audit misstatements
- Uncorrected audit misstatements
Audit risks and results

We highlight our significant findings in respect of significant financial reporting risks below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>Significant Risk</th>
<th>Fraud risk from revenue recognition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Significant financial reporting risk | Why is it significant?**

There are generally pressures or incentives on management to commit fraudulent financial reporting through inappropriate revenue recognition when performance is measured in terms of year-over-year revenue growth or profit.

This is a presumed fraud risk.

**Our response and significant findings**

– We have rebutted this presumed fraud risk as it is not appropriate when we consider the manner in which performance is measured by the Town.
Audit risks and results

2 Significant Risk
Fraud risk from management override of controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significant financial reporting risk</th>
<th>Why is it significant?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We have not identified any specific additional risks of management override relating to this audit.</td>
<td>This is a presumed fraud risk.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our response and significant findings

– As the risk is not rebuttable, our audit methodology incorporated the required procedures in professional standards to address this risk. These procedures included testing of journal entries and other adjustments, performing a retrospective review of estimates and evaluating the business rationale of significant unusual transactions.
– No exceptions were noted in our testing.
Audit risks and results - estimates with significant risk

We believe management's process for identifying estimates with significant risk is considered adequate.

We have summarized our assessment of the subjective areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset / liability</th>
<th>Carrying Amount ($'000s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee future benefits obligation</td>
<td>$26,327</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KPMG comment**

- The most recent valuation by the Corporation's actuary was performed as at December 31, 2018, and the results were extrapolated to December 31, 2019.
- KPMG evaluated the reasonability of the discount rate used in the current year calculation. The discount rate used by the Corporation was 2.80% (2018 – 3.70%) at December 31, 2019.
- KPMG assessed the reasonableness of the other assumptions used in the calculation and gained an understanding of the nature and scope of the work performed by the actuaries.
- KPMG assessed the qualifications of Morneau Shepell, the Actuarial firm utilized, to ensure they have the expertise to perform the estimated calculations.

**Audit findings:**

No issues noted.
Audit risks and results - estimates with significant risk

We believe management’s process for identifying estimates with significant risk is considered adequate.

We have summarized our assessment of the subjective areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset / liability</th>
<th>Carrying Amount ($'000s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrying value of tangible capital assets and other non-financial assets</td>
<td>$256,287</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KPMG comment**

- Amortization is charged on a straight-line basis over the useful life of the assets. The estimated useful lives of the tangible capital assets that the Town uses are consistent with industry standards.
- KPMG performed substantive tests of details over additions to tangible capital assets as well as substantive analytical procedures over the current year amortization.
- There have been no indications of valuation or impairment issues in relation to the total value of tangible capital assets and other non-financial assets recorded.

**Audit findings:**

No issues noted.
Technology in the audit

We have utilized technology to enhance the quality and effectiveness of the audit.

Areas of the audit where Technology and D&A routines were used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>Our results and insights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Journal Entry Analysis</td>
<td>We utilized KPMG application software (IDEA) to evaluate the completeness of the journal entry population through a roll-forward of 100% of the accounts from January 1, 2019 to December 31, 2019.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We further utilized computer-assisted audit techniques (CAATs) to analyze journal entries and apply certain criteria to identify potential high-risk journal entries for further testing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This allowed us to conclude over potential discrepancies in the completeness of the journal entry populations provided to us, and also enabled us to filter journal entries for certain higher risk criteria, thereby making our procedures to cover the risk of management overrides more targeted and effective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As a result of our testing, no material misstatement or issues were identified.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Financial statement presentation and disclosure

The presentation and disclosure of the financial statements are, in all material respects, in accordance with the Town’s relevant financial reporting framework. Misstatements, including omissions, if any, related to disclosure or presentation items are in the management representation letter.

We also highlight the following:

| Form, arrangement, and content of the financial statements | The financial statements are, in all material respects, in accordance with the applicable financial accounting framework. The disclosures in the notes to the financial statements are appropriate. |
| Application of accounting pronouncements issued but not yet effective | There are no concerns at this time regarding future implementation of new and revised standards. |
Uncorrected differences and Corrected Adjustments

Differences and adjustments include disclosure differences and adjustments.

Professional standards require that we request of management and Council that all identified differences be corrected. We have already made this request of management.

Uncorrected differences

– We did not identify any adjustments that were communicated to management and subsequently corrected in the financial statements.

Corrected adjustments

– We did not identify any adjustments that were communicated to management and subsequently corrected in the financial statements.
Control deficiencies and Other observations

In accordance with professional standards, we are required to communicate to Council significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting (ICFR) that we identified during our audit.

The purpose of our audit is to express an opinion on the financial statements. Our audit included consideration of ICFR in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of ICFR. The matters being reported are limited to those deficiencies that we have identified during our audit and that we have concluded are of sufficient importance to merit being reported to the audit committee.

Significant deficiencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Potential effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No control deficiencies to note</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Appendix 1: Other Required Communications

In accordance with professional standards, there are a number of communications that are required during the course of and upon completion of our audit. These include:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Auditor’s report</th>
<th>Management representation letter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The conclusion of our audit is set out in our draft auditors’ report attached to the draft financial statements.</td>
<td>In accordance with professional standards, a copy of the management representation letter are provided to Council. The management representation letter is attached.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2: Audit Quality and Risk Management

KPMG maintains a system of quality control designed to reflect our drive and determination to deliver independent, unbiased advice and opinions, and also meet the requirements of Canadian professional standards.

Quality control is fundamental to our business and is the responsibility of every partner and employee. The following diagram summarizes the key elements of our quality control system.

What do we mean by audit quality?

Audit Quality (AQ) is at the core of everything we do at KPMG.

We believe that it is not just about reaching the right opinion, but how we reach that opinion.

We define ‘audit quality’ as being the outcome when audits are:

- Executed consistently, in line with the requirements and intent of applicable professional standards within a strong system of quality controls and
- All of our related activities are undertaken in an environment of the utmost level of objectivity, independence, ethics, and integrity.

Our AQ Framework summarises how we deliver AQ. Visit our Audit Quality Resources page for more information including access to our Audit Quality and Transparency report.
Appendix 3: Management Representation Letter
Ladies and Gentlemen:

We are writing at your request to confirm our understanding that your audit was for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the financial statements (hereinafter referred to as "financial statements") of The Corporation of the Town of LaSalle ("the Entity") as at and for the period ended December 31, 2019.

GENERAL:

We confirm that the representations we make in this letter are in accordance with the definitions as set out in Attachment I to this letter.

We also confirm that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, having made such inquiries as we considered necessary for the purpose of appropriately informing ourselves:

RESPONSIBILITIES:

1) We have fulfilled our responsibilities, as set out in the terms of the engagement letter dated April 14, 2017, including for:

   a) the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements and believe that these financial statements have been prepared and present fairly in accordance with the relevant financial reporting framework.

   b) providing you with all information of which we are aware that is relevant to the preparation of the financial statements ("relevant information"), such as financial records, documentation and other matters, including:

      (i) the names of all related parties and information regarding all relationships and transactions with related parties;

      (i) the complete minutes of meetings, or summaries of actions of recent meetings for which minutes have not yet been prepared, of shareholders, board of directors and committees of the board of directors that may affect the financial statements. All significant actions are included in such summaries.

   c) providing you with unrestricted access to such relevant information

   d) providing you with complete responses to all enquiries made by you during the engagement

   e) providing you with additional information that you may request from us for the purpose of the engagement.
f) providing you with unrestricted access to persons within the Entity from whom you determined it necessary to obtain audit evidence.

g) such internal control as we determined is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. We also acknowledge and understand that we are responsible for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control to prevent and detect fraud.

h) ensuring that all transactions have been recorded in the accounting records and are reflected in the financial statements.

i) ensuring that internal auditors providing direct assistance to you, if any, were instructed to follow your instructions and that we, and others within the entity, did not intervene in the work the internal auditors performed for you.

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING:

2) We have communicated to you all deficiencies in the design and implementation or maintenance of internal control over financial reporting of which we are aware.

FRAUD & NON-COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

3) We have disclosed to you:
   a) the results of our assessment of the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud
   b) all information in relation to fraud or suspected fraud that we are aware of that involves:
      – management;
      – employees who have significant roles in internal control over financial reporting, or
      – others
      where such fraud or suspected fraud could have a material effect on the financial statements.
   c) all information in relation to allegations of fraud, or suspected fraud, affecting the financial statements, communicated by employees, former employees, analysts, regulators, or others.
   d) all known instances of non-compliance or suspected non-compliance with laws and regulations, including all aspects of contractual agreements, whose effects should be considered when preparing financial statements.
   e) all known actual or possible litigation and claims whose effects should be considered when preparing the financial statements.

SUBSEQUENT EVENTS:

4) All events subsequent to the date of the financial statements and for which the relevant financial reporting framework requires adjustment or disclosure in the financial statements have been adjusted or disclosed.

RELATED PARTIES:

5) We have disclosed to you the identity of the Entity’s related parties.

6) We have disclosed to you all the related party relationships and transactions/balances of which we are aware.
7) All related party relationships and transactions/balances have been appropriately accounted for and disclosed in accordance with the relevant financial reporting framework.

ESTIMATES:

8) Measurement methods and significant assumptions used by us in making accounting estimates, including those measured at fair value, are reasonable.

GOING CONCERN:

9) We have provided you with all information relevant to the use of the going concern assumption in the financial statements.

10) We confirm that we are not aware of material uncertainties related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt upon the Entity’s ability to continue as a going concern

NON-SEC REGISTRANTS OR NON-REPORTING ISSUERS:

11) We confirm that the Entity is not a Canadian reporting issuer (as defined under any applicable Canadian securities act) and is not a United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) Issuer (as defined by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002).
12) We also confirm that the financial statements of the Entity will not be included in the group financial statements of a Canadian reporting issuer audited by KPMG or an SEC Issuer audited by any member of the KPMG organization.

APPROVAL OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

13) (Insert name of director) has the recognized authority to take, and has taken, responsibility for the financial statements.

Yours very truly,

By: Mr. Dale Langlois, Treasurer

By: Mr. Gaetano Ferraro, Deputy Treasurer
Attachment I – Definitions

MATERIALITY

Certain representations in this letter are described as being limited to matters that are material. Misstatements, including omissions, are considered to be material if they, individually or in the aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements. Judgments about materiality are made in light of surrounding circumstances, and are affected by the size or nature of a misstatement, or a combination of both.

FRAUD & ERROR

Fraudulent financial reporting involves intentional misstatements including omissions of amounts or disclosures in financial statements to deceive financial statement users.

Misappropriation of assets involves the theft of an entity’s assets. It is often accompanied by false or misleading records or documents in order to conceal the fact that the assets are missing or have been pledged without proper authorization.

An error is an unintentional misstatement in financial statements, including the omission of an amount or a disclosure.

RELATED PARTIES

In accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards related party is defined as:

- Related parties exist when one party has the ability to exercise, directly or indirectly, control, joint control or significant influence over the other. Two or more parties are related when they are subject to common control, joint control or common significant influence. Related parties also include management and immediate family members.

In accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards a related party transaction is defined as:

- A transfer of economic resources or obligations between related parties, or the provision of service by one party to a related party, regardless of whether any consideration is exchanged. The parties to the transaction are related prior to the transaction. When the relationship arises as a result of the transaction, the transaction is not one between related parties.
Appendix 4: Preparing for PSAB Changes
PS3280 addresses the reporting of legal obligations associated with the retirement of certain tangible capital assets and solid waste landfill sites by public sector entities. PS3280 will apply to fiscal years beginning on or after April 1, 2021. Earlier adoption is permitted. Three transition options are available – retroactive, modified retroactive, prospective.

Asset retirement activities are defined to include all activities related to an asset retirement obligation. These may include but are not limited to:

- decommissioning or dismantling a tangible capital asset that was acquired, constructed or developed
- decontamination created by the normal use of the tangible capital asset
- post-retirement activities such as monitoring
- constructing other tangible capital assets in order to perform postretirement activities

With the introduction of PS3280 PSAB has withdrawn existing Section PS3270, solid waste landfill closure and post-closure liability.

Some examples of asset retirement obligations which fall under scope of proposed PS3280 include:

- end of lease provisions (from a lessee perspective)
- removal of radiologically contaminated medical equipment
- wastewater or sewage treatment facilities
- firewater holding tanks
- closure and post-closure obligations associated with landfills
- septic beds
- fuel storage tank removal

Under PS3280, an asset retirement obligation should be recognized when, as at the financial reporting date, ALL of the following criteria are met:

- there is a legal obligation to incur retirement costs in relation to a tangible capital asset
- the past transaction or event giving rise to the liability has occurred
- it is expected that future economic benefits will be given up
- a reasonable estimate of the amount can be made
Are You Ready?

1. Has a project plan been developed for the implementation of this section?
2. Has Finance communicated with key stakeholders, including Council or Board on the impact of this section?
3. Does Finance communicate with representatives of the Public Works, Asset Management, Facilities Management or Legal functions through the financial reporting process?
4. Has a complete inventory been developed of all inactive or active assets or sites, to provide a baseline for scoping of potential retirement obligations?
5. If a complete inventory has been developed, does it reconcile back to information currently reported in the entity’s financial statements for tangible capital assets or contaminated sites?
6. Does your entity have data on non-recorded assets or sites (ie: assets which were originally expensed on purchase, or recorded at no book value) which could have retirement obligations?
7. Does your entity have an active solid waste landfill site?
8. If yes, does your entity have an existing estimate of the full costs to retire and monitor the landfill site?
9. Is your entity aware of any of its buildings which have asbestos?
10. If so, does your entity have information to inform a cost estimate to remove/ treat the asbestos?
11. Is your entity aware of underground fuel storage tanks or boilers which must be removed at end of life?
12. If so, does your entity have information to inform a cost estimate to remove the tanks?
13. Is your entity aware of any lease arrangements where it will be required to incur costs to return the premises to pre-existing conditions at the end of the lease?
14. Has your entity determined if it has any sewage or wastewater treatment plants which have closure plans or environmental approvals which require full or partial retirement of the plant at the end of its life?
15. Is your entity aware of any other contractual or legal obligations to retire or otherwise dismantle or remove an asset at the end of its life?

Revenues

PS3400 outlines a framework describing two categories of revenue – transactions with performance obligations (exchange transactions) and transactions without performance obligations (unilateral transactions).

- This section will apply to fiscal years beginning on or after April 1, 2022, with earlier adoption permitted.
- This Section may be applied retroactively or prospectively.
- This section will not impact the present accounting for taxation revenues and government transfers.

Transactions which give rise to one or more performance obligations are considered to be exchange transactions. Performance obligations are defined as enforceable promises to provide goods or services to a payer as a result of exchange transactions. Revenue from an exchange transaction would be recognized when the public sector entity has satisfied the performance obligation(s), at a point in time or over a period of time.

If no performance obligations are present, the transaction would represent unilateral revenue, and be recognized when the public sector entity has the authority to claim or retain an inflow of economic resources and a past event gives rise to a claim of economic resources.

Public sector entities will need to review their revenue recognition policies for in-scope transaction types. Impacted areas may include:

- Development charges
- Permits
- Licences
- Advertising programs
Are You Ready?

1. Has the entity identified any revenue-generating transactions other than taxation or government transfer revenues which create performance obligations (i.e., the entity is required to provide a good or service to earn that revenue)?
2. If so, has the entity reviewed its accounting policies for these transactions to verify revenue is recognized only as performance obligations are being met?
3. Has the entity quantified the impact of any change in accounting policy, or determined that there is no impact?

Financial Instruments

PS3450 establishes standards on how to account for and report all types of financial instruments including derivatives.

- This Section applies to fiscal years beginning on or after April 1, 2021. Earlier adoption is permitted.
- Government organizations that applied the CPA Canada Handbook – Accounting prior to their adoption of the CPA Canada Public Sector Accounting Handbook applied this Section to fiscal years beginning on or after April 1, 2012.
- This section must be adopted with Section PS 2601, Foreign Currency Translation.
- Specific transition requirements are outlined in the section.

This section prescribes a fair value measurement framework for derivatives, and equity instruments that are quoted in an active market.

Where an entity manages risks, the investment strategy, or performance of a group of financial assets, financial liabilities or both on a fair value basis, they may also be measured at fair value.

Other financial instruments are measured at cost/amortized cost.

Changes in the fair value of a financial instrument in the fair value category are recognized in the Statement of Remeasurement Gains and Losses as a remeasurement gain or loss until the financial instrument is derecognized.

- Upon derecognition, the remeasurement gain or loss is realized in the Statement of Operations.

Are You Ready?

1. Does the entity hold any financial assets which are equity or derivative instruments?
2. Has the entity determined if it has any embedded derivatives that might arise from existing contractual arrangements?
3. Does the entity have other financial assets which it assesses performance of based on fair value, and for which it might elect a fair value measure?
4. If yes to any of the above three questions, does the entity have readily observable market data to inform a fair value measure?
5. Has the entity reviewed existing financial instrument note disclosure in the financial statements to determine any required revisions to meet the requirements of this section?
6. Does the entity enter into transactions involving foreign exchange?
7. Does the entity hold any monetary assets and monetary liabilities, or non-monetary assets denominated in a foreign currency?
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Appendix 5: Audit Response to COVID-19 Pandemic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subsequent Events</th>
<th>Our audit approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Subsequent Events** | On March 11, 2020, the COVID-19 outbreak was declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization and has had a significant financial market and social dislocating impact. As such enhanced subsequent events procedures are warranted. There are two types of subsequent events, with the accounting treatment dependent on the categorization as follows:  
– Events that provide future evidence of conditions that existed at the financial statement date. For these conditions, the financial statements should be adjusted for measurable impact to the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenditures.  
– Events that are indicative of conditions that rose subsequent to the financial statement date. For these conditions, disclosures, at a minimum, should include a description of the event and an estimate of the financial impact, when practicable or a statement that an estimate cannot be made. |

**Audit response:**

Based on KPMG discussions with management, it is too early to assess the impact of the coronavirus and resulting disruption to the Town’s operations in 2020.

– Management has disclosed the above in the notes to the financial statements.
– We are working with the management to assess further impact, financial implications, and related actions undertaken by the Town. The relevant note to the financial statements may be revised, based on our findings up to the date of the audit report. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resources</th>
<th><strong>COVID-19 Alerts (Live Link)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Resources for Management, Board and Committee members | Please visit our COVID-19 website for resources regarding the topics below. This site is being updated daily based on information being released by Federal, Provincial and Municipal news releases.  
– Business continuity guide  
– Immediate actions to take  
– Medium to long-term actions  
– Tax considerations and a summary of Federal and Provincial programs |